	Case 1:19-cv-01788-BAK Document 53	Filed 09/02/22 Page 1 of 4
1		
2		
3		
4 5		
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	FRESNO DIVISION	
8		
9	ERLINDO RODRIGUEZ, JR.,	1:19-cv-01788-BAK (HBK) (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	SECOND INFORMATIONAL ORDER—
11 12	v.	NOTICE AND WARNING OF REQUIREMENTS FOR OPPOSING DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY
13	I DAVNA et el	JUDGMENT MOTION
14	L. RAYNA, et al.,	(Doc. No. 51)
	Defendants.	TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE
15		
16		
17		1
18	Plaintiff Erlindo Rodriguez, Jr. is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
19	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case proceeds against Defendants J.	
20	Carranza-Rico and L. Reyna for a December 3, 2018, denial of food claim and against Defendant	
21	Reyna for a December 4, 2018 denial of food claim in violation of the Eighth Amendment.	
22	I. Rand Notice	
23	Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on August 8, 2022. (Doc. No. 51.)	
24	Defendants' motion is not accompanied by a <i>Rand</i> warning. (<i>Id.</i>) Consequently, pursuant to	
25	Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012), Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998),	
26	and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409 (9th Cir. 1988), the Court hereby notifies Plaintiff of the	
27	following rights and requirements for opposing the motion:	

1.

Local Rule 230(1).

Facts. Local Rule 260(a).

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. Plaintiff is required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. Local Rule 230(1). If Plaintiff fails to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion, this action may be dismissed, with

prejudice, for failure to prosecute. The opposition or statement of non-opposition must be filed

not more than 21 days after the date of service of the motion. *Id*.

Unless otherwise ordered, all motions for summary judgment are briefed pursuant to

- 3. A motion for summary judgment is a request for judgment on some or all of Plaintiff's claims in favor of Defendants without trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). Defendants' motion sets forth the facts which they contend are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle them
- to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). This is called the Statement of Undisputed
- Plaintiff has the right to oppose a motion for summary judgment. To oppose the 4. motion, Plaintiff must show proof of his claims. Plaintiff may agree with the facts set forth in Defendants' motion but argue that Defendants are not entitled to judgment as a matter of law.
- 5. In the alternative, if Plaintiff does not agree with the facts set forth in Defendants' motion, he may show that Defendants' facts are disputed in one or more of the following ways: (1) Plaintiff may rely upon statements made under the penalty of perjury in the complaint or the opposition if (a) the complaint or opposition shows that Plaintiff has personal knowledge of the matters stated and (b) Plaintiff calls to the Court's attention those parts of the complaint or opposition upon which Plaintiff relies; (2) Plaintiff may serve and file declarations setting forth the facts which Plaintiff believes prove his claims; (3) Plaintiff may rely upon written records but Plaintiff must prove that the records are what he claims they are; or (4) Plaintiff may rely upon all or any part of the transcript of one or more depositions, answers to interrogatories, or admissions obtained in this proceeding. Should Plaintiff fail to contradict Defendants' motion with declarations or other evidence, Defendants' evidence will be taken as truth, and final judgment may be entered without a full trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e).

1

6

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

20

23

22

24 25

26

27

- 6. In opposing Defendants' motion for summary judgment, Local Rule 260(b) requires Plaintiff to reproduce Defendants' itemized facts in the Statement of Undisputed Facts and admit those facts which are undisputed and deny those which are disputed. If Plaintiff disputes (denies) a fact, Plaintiff must cite to the evidence used to support that denial (e.g., pleading, declaration, deposition, interrogatory answer, admission, or other document). Local Rule 260(b).
- 7. If discovery has not yet been opened or if discovery is still open and Plaintiff is not yet able to present facts to justify the opposition to the motion, the Court will consider a request to postpone consideration of Defendants' motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d). Any request to postpone consideration of Defendants' motion for summary judgment must include the following: (1) a declaration setting forth the specific facts Plaintiff hopes to elicit from further discovery, (2) a showing that the facts exist, and (3) a showing that the facts are essential to opposing the motion for summary judgment. Blough v. Holland Realty, Inc., 574 F.3d 1084, 1091 n.5 (9th Cir. 2009); Tatum v. City & Cty. of San Francisco, 441 F.3d 1090, 1100–01 (9th Cir. 2006); California v. Campbell, 138 F.3d 772, 779 (9th Cir. 1998). The request to postpone the motion for summary judgment must identify what information is sought and how it would preclude summary judgment. Blough, 574 F.3d at 1091 n.5; Tatum, 441 F.3d at 1100–01; Margolis v. Ryan, 140 F.3d 850, 853 (9th Cir. 1998); Local Rule 260(b).
- 8. Unsigned declarations will be stricken, and declarations not signed under penalty of perjury have no evidentiary value.
- 9. The failure of any party to comply with this order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California may result in the imposition of sanctions including but not limited to dismissal of the action or entry of default.

II. **Deadline to File Opposition**

The Court recognizes Plaintiff filed a Declaration on August 26, 2022, apparently intending it serve as an opposition to Defendants' summary judgment. (See Doc. 52.) However, the declaration alone will not suffice to serve as an opposition to Defendants' motion.

Case 1:19-cv-01788-BAK Document 53 Filed 09/02/22 Page 4 of 4

In light of Plaintiff's *pro se* status and Defendants' failure to properly file a *Rand* notice with their motion for summary judgment, the Court finds it appropriate to extend the deadline for Plaintiff to file his opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

III. Conclusion and Order

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. **Within twenty-one (21) days** from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment, in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Rule 260; and
- 2. Any request for an extension of time of this deadline must be filed on or before it expires and must be supported by good cause.

Dated: September 2, 2022

HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- -